



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

zel

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,180	07/30/2003	Zheng Wei	10709/47	9214

7590 10/15/2007
K. Shannon Mrksich
Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione
P.O. Box 10395
Chicago, IL 60610

EXAMINER

DEBERRY, REGINA M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1647

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

10/15/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/630,180

Applicant(s)

WEI, ZHENG

Examiner

Regina M. DeBerry

Art Unit

1647

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Regina M. DeBerry.(3) Magda Cilella.(2) Marianne Allen.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 October 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claims 1 and 28.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Marianne P. Allen
MARIANNE P. ALLEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

AU 1647

10/12/07

Regina M. DeBerry
Examiner's signature if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the Enablement rejection. Discussed the proposed claim amendment. Applicant was told that the claims were not enabled because there was no way to discern which chemoattractant receptor the identified antagonist was binding. Suggested that Applicant recite in the claims how "determining whether an identified antagonist is an antagonist for which chemoattractant receptor" is accomplished. Suggested that Applicant amend the claims (using support from the specification) to somehow recite that RAM screening is used to determine whether an identified antagonist is an antagonist for one of the two receptors. Suggested that Applicant keep the limitation "target" or "selected" (using the limitation that has support in the specification), which would indicate that the chemoattractant receptors are known. The Examiner stated that these claim amendments entered in an After Final might obviate the instant Enablement Rejection and that the case could be allowed (barring new rejections; art, new matter, etc).